

Thakur

[Sp1/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 944 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

12 JUL 2016

C.A. No. 119/2015 IN O.A. No. 957/2011.

- 1. Shri Maruti L. Karangale,
Add. W/as. Sub Treasury Officer, Having Office in the office of District
Treasury, Pune, Having office in the compound of District Collector,
Pune-1.

...APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 Shri Babasaheb B. Thite, The Joint
Director (Technical), Directorate of
Accounts & Treasuries, Having
Office at New Govt. Barrack Nos.
15 & 16, F.P.J. Marg, Mumbai-21.
- 2 Shri Vijaykumar, Principal
Secretary, Finance Dept., The State
of Maharashtra, Having Office at
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 11th day of July, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **11.07.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Member,
The Tribunal,
has filed an applica
the mentioned there
a following order:
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

E:\Suchin\Judicial Order\ORDER-2016\July-16\12.07.2016\CA. No. 119 of 15 IN O.A. No. 957 of 11-11.07.16.doc

116.
by Enclosed / Order Copy

(6)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.]

**MARAHASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

versus

State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Program,
r
rs

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.07.2016.

C.A.No.119 of 2015 in O.A.No.957 of 2011

M.K. Karangale

.... Applicant.

Versus


The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant prays for leave to withdraw the Contempt Application, in order to enable the Applicant to serve the Contemnors in their personal capacity by name by recording that the Contemnors shall land in Contempt if the order is not complied with, within time specified therein, and file fresh Contempt Application.

3. With liberty as sought, C.A. is disposed of.


(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman

prk

TRUE COPY


Asst. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.

[P.T.O.]